

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/512,914

Applicant(s)

BUCH ET AL.

Examiner

Shaojia A. Jiang

Art Unit

1617

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Shaojia A. Jiang.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Rudolf E. Hutz.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 February 2002.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 99, 106, 127, 133, and 175-177.

Identification of prior art discussed: all, generally.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner indicated that claims limited to a method of treating combined hypertension and hyperlipidemia in a mammal suffering from the same comprising administering amlodipine and atorvastatin together in a single dosage form are deemed allowable. Applicant's attorney agreed to submit a supplemental amendment in order to put the application in condition for allowance, as suggested by the examiner. (see the supplemental amendment in Paper No. 14).